

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/632,711 08/01/2003 Dennis A. Carson 02307O-124010US 2786 20350 **EXAMINER** 7590 08/24/2005 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP GRAFFEO, MICHELLE TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 1614

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | *Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | 10/632,711 | CARSON ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | • |
| | Michelle Graffeo | 1614 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI | be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| | nis action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde | · | • | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdenses 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-62 are subject to restriction and/or | rawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | ccepted or b) objected to by | the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit | ents have been received. ents have been received in Appleriority documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)). | ication No ceived in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | , | mary (PTO-413) | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date | m | lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8,14-17, 21-26, 35-38 and 51-58 drawn to a method of treating cancer comprising an IMPDH inhibitor and an agent that inhibits a cellular process regulated by GTP or ATP, classified in class 514, subclass 724.
- II. Claims 9-13,18-20,31-34 and 39-50 drawn to a composition for treating cancer comprising an IMPDH inhibitor and an agent that inhibits a cellular process regulated by GTP or ATP, classified in class 514, subclass 724.
- III. Claims 27-30, drawn to a method for treating cancer wherein the cancer comprises a population of cells deficient in the enzyme MTAP comprising an IMPDH inhibitor, classified in class 514, subclass 385.
- IV. Claims 59-62, drawn to a method of treating an immune system condition, classified in class 514, subclass 724.

Inventions I and II are related as process of use and product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of treating cancer can be practiced with a different anticancer agent, for example vincristine which is a microtubule inhibitor. Additionally, the compound product can be used in a

Art Unit: 1614

materially different process, for example for the treatment of immune system disorders (see Simmons W D; Rayhill S C; Sollinger H W. Preliminary risk-benefit assessment of mycophenolate mofetil in transplant rejection. Drug safety: an international journal of medical toxicology and drug experience, (1997 Aug) 17 (2) 75-92.).

Inventions III and I are distinct and capable of separate manufacture, use, and/or sale as claimed. In the instant case, Groups I and III are manufactured separately since Group III does not require the particulars of Group I as claimed because Group III does not require an agent that inhibits a cellular process regulated by GTP or ATP. Group III has separate utility such as treating cancer comprising a population of cells deficient in the enzyme MTAP and therefore will be used to treat a different population.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together. Specifically, treating a disorder of the immune system will require different patient populations (a patient population having a disorder of the immune system) and have different effects than a process of treating cancer (i.e. either the cancer will be treated or the immune condition will be treated).

Art Unit: 1614

Inventions III and II are related as process of use and product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of treating cancer can be practiced with a different anticancer agent, for example vincristine which is a microtubule inhibitor. Additionally, the compound product can be used in a materially different process, for example for the treatment of immune system disorders (see Simmons W D; Rayhill S C; Sollinger H W. Preliminary risk-benefit assessment of mycophenolate mofetil in transplant rejection. Drug safety: an international journal of medical toxicology and drug experience, (1997 Aug) 17 (2) 75-92.).

Inventions IV and II are related as process of use and product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of treating an immune system condition can be practiced with a different agent, for example cyclosporine. Additionally, the compound product can be used in a materially different process, for example for the treatment of immune system disorders (see Simmons W D; Rayhill S C; Sollinger H W. Preliminary risk-benefit assessment of

Art Unit: 1614

mycophenolate mofetil in transplant rejection. Drug safety: an international journal of medical toxicology and drug experience, (1997 Aug) 17 (2) 75-92.).

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together. Specifically, treating a disorder of the immune system will require different patient populations (a patient population having a disorder of the immune system) and have different effects than a process of treating cancer (i.e. either the cancer will be treated or the immune condition will be treated).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and/or separate classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Beth Kelly on August 19, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 6

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Graffeo whose telephone number is 571-272-8505. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am to 5:30pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

22 August 2005

MG

... MG

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600